Company Name: TJX Companies
Industry: Apparel (Supply Chain and Own Operations)
Overall Score (*): 14.8 out of 100

### Theme Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme Score</th>
<th>Out of</th>
<th>For Theme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>A. Governance and Policies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>B. Embedding Respect and Human Rights Due Diligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>C. Remedies and Grievance Mechanisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>D. Performance: Company Human Rights Practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>E. Performance: Responses to Serious Allegations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>F. Transparency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Please note that any small differences between the Overall Score and the added total of Measurement Theme scores are due to rounding the numbers at different stages of the score calculation process.

Please note also that the "Not met" labels in the Explanation boxes below do not necessarily mean that the company does not meet the requirements as they are described in the bullet point short text. Rather, it means that the analysts could not find information in public sources that met the requirements as described in full in the CHRB 2019 Methodology document. For example, a "Not met" under "General HRs Commitment", which is the first bullet point for indicator A.1.1, does not necessarily mean that the company does not have a general commitment to human rights. Rather, it means that the CHRB could not identify a public statement of policy in which the company commits to respecting human rights.

### Detailed assessment

#### A. Governance and Policies (10% of Total)

##### A.1 Policy Commitments (5% of Total)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Indicator name</th>
<th>Score (out of 2)</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.1.1</td>
<td>Commitment to respect human rights</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Met: General HRs commitment: The Company states in its code of conduct 'We are committed to acting as a good corporate citizen in the communities where we operate. At TJX, we respect human rights and believe it is important to seek out suppliers and other third parties who do so as well' [Global Code of Conduct: tjx.com] • Not met: UNGC principles 1 &amp; 2 • Not met: UDHR • Not met: International Bill of Rights Score 2 • Not met: UNGPs • Not met: OECD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.1.2</td>
<td>Commitment to respect the human rights of workers</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: ILO Core: The Company's own code of conduct does not cover all four ILOs, only No discrimination. [Global Code of Conduct: tjx.com] • Not met: UNGC principles 3-6 • Not met: Explicitly list ALL four ILO for AP suppliers: The vendor code of conduct includes commitments in relation to child labour, forced labour and discrimination. In relation to freedom of association and collective bargaining, it states that 'our vendors must respect the rights of their workers to choose (or choose not) to freely associate and bargain collectively where such rights are recognized by law'. However, it is not clear if it is committed to respect those rights in all contexts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator Code</td>
<td>Indicator name</td>
<td>Score (out of 2)</td>
<td>Explanation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| A.1.3.AP        | Commitment to respect human rights particularly relevant to the industry (AP) | 0.5 | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1 |  
• Met: Women’s Rights: The Company states it is 'committed to respecting the rights of all workers, in particular, the rights of women and children, who can be especially vulnerable in the retail manufacturing supply chain.' [Vendor Code of Conduct (website, updated), 13/8/2019: tjx.com]  
• Not met: Migrant worker’s rights  
• Not met: Expecting suppliers to respect these rights  
Score 2 |  
• Not met: CEDAW/Women's Empowerment Principles  
• Not met: Child Rights Convention/Business principles  
• Not met: Convention on migrant workers  
• Not met: Respecting the right to water: Its Vendor Code of Conduct states: 'Our vendors are strongly encouraged to protect the environment by: operating in a sustainable manner, where possible; conserving and protecting resources, such as water and energy; and taking into consideration environmental issues that may impact local communities'. However, the Company has not disclosed any documents stating its own commitment to respect the right to water and sanitation. [Vendor Code of Conduct (website): tjx.com]  
• Not met: Expecting suppliers to respect these rights |
| A.1.4 | Commitment to engage with stakeholders | 0 | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1 |  
• Not met: Commits to stakeholder engagement: The Company states on its website 'We strongly believe that for our policies and practices to be effective, they must evolve and be informed by the perspectives of our many stakeholders. Therefore, we strive to maintain an open dialogue with these important groups to achieve our goals'. The listed stakeholders include Associates, Customers and Investors. The Company has not disclosed any public documents stating its commitment to engage with potentially and actually affected stakeholders (including in local communities). [Stakeholder engagement (updated), 13/8/2019: tjx.com]  
• Not met: Regular stakeholder engagement  
Score 2 |  
• Not met: Committs to engage stakeholders in design  
• Not met: Regular stakeholder design engagement |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Indicator name</th>
<th>Score (out of 2)</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.1.5</td>
<td>Commitment to remedy</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Commits to remedy Score 2 • Not met: Not obstructing access to other remedies • Not met: Collaborating with other remedy initiatives • Met: Work with AP suppliers to remedy impacts: The Company reports on its practices in its CR report 2017: ‘At the conclusion of an audit, a copy of the CAP is left with management to assist them in resolving any violations or deficiencies detected during the audit. To encourage collaboration between our buying agents and vendors, a copy of the CAP is also forwarded to both parties’ attention shortly following a third-party audit. TJX receives a copy as well. […] where moderate to more serious violations of the Vendor Code of Conduct or the local laws are detected, we require that our third-party representatives re-audit the factory. Problems are tracked and factories are notified of the expectation of remedial action. […] Integral to the success of our compliance program is ongoing involvement and partnerships between TJX, UL, Intertek, Omega, our buying agents, and vendors to address shortcomings identified in audits and to work toward improvement.’ [Global Social Compliance Program: tjx.com &amp; Corporate Responsibility Report 2017, 2017: tjx.com]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.1.6</td>
<td>Commitment to respect the rights of human rights defenders</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Zero tolerance attacks on HRs Defenders (HRDs) Score 2 • Not met: Expects AP suppliers to reflect company HRD commitments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A.2 Policy Commitments (5% of Total)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Indicator name</th>
<th>Score (out of 2)</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.2.1</td>
<td>Commitment from the top</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Met: CEO or Board approves policy: Its Global Code of Conduct is signed by the Company’s CEO, which include a general statement to respect human rights but does not cover all ILO core. [Global Code of Conduct: tjx.com] • Not met: Board level responsibility for HRs Score 2 • Not met: Speeches/letters by Board members or CEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.2.2</td>
<td>Board discussions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Board/Committee review of salient HRs • Not met: Examples or trends re HR discussion Score 2 • Not met: Both examples and process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.2.3</td>
<td>Incentives and performance management</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Incentives for at least one board member • Not met: At least one key AP HR risk, beyond employee H&amp;S Score 2 • Not met: Performance criteria made public</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. Embedding Respect and Human Rights Due Diligence (25% of Total)

#### B.1 Embedding Respect for Human Rights in Company Culture and Management Systems (10% of Total)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Indicator name</th>
<th>Score (out of 2)</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.1.1</td>
<td>Responsibility and resources for day-to-day human rights functions</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Commits to ILO core conventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator Code</td>
<td>Indicator name</td>
<td>Score (out of 2)</td>
<td>Explanation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Met: Senior responsibility for HR: The Company states that 'Our Social Compliance Program is included as part of our ongoing enterprise risk assessment, and, as such, our Senior Vice President (SVP), Chief Risk and Compliance Officer, has responsibility for global social compliance. [...] Program management is overseen by the Assistant Vice President (AVP), Global Social Compliance, who works closely with representatives of our merchandise and purchasing functions across all of TJX’s businesses and with the Global Social Compliance Committee. This Committee is comprised of senior leadership from the U.S., Canada, and Europe and from relevant disciplines within TJX, including Merchandising, Sourcing, Imports, Compliance, Enterprise Risk Management, Legal, and Global Communications. The Committee meets on a regular basis, oversees compliance of TJX’s ethical sourcing initiatives, and serves in an advisory capacity, helping to guide the strategy and execution of the program. Our international buying offices and merchants also play key roles in achieving our goals.' [Global Social Compliance Program (updated), 13/8/2019: tjx.com]
| B.1.2          | Incentives and performance management                                           | 0                | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Senior manager incentives for human rights • Not met: At least one key AP HR risk, beyond employee H&S Score 2 • Not met: Performance criteria made public |
| B.1.3          | Integration with enterprise risk management                                      | 1                | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Met: HR risks is integrated as part of enterprise risk system: The Company states 'Our Social Compliance Program is included as part of our ongoing enterprise risk assessment'. Among the Risk Factors listed in the annual report CHRB found the following: 'We are subject to risks associated with sourcing merchandise from others, particularly where sourcing from other countries and moving merchandise internationally.' These risks include ‘concerns about human rights, working conditions and other labor rights and conditions in countries where merchandise is produced’. [Annual report 2018, 2018: tjx.com & Global Social Compliance Program (updated), 13/8/2019: tjx.com]
| B.1.4.a        | Communication /dissemination of policy commitment(s) within Company’s own operations | 0                | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Commits to ILO core conventions • Not met: Communicates its policy to all workers in own operations [Global Code of Conduct: tjx.com] Score 2 • Not met: Commits to all 4 ILO core conventions • Not met: Communication of policy commitments to stakeholder • Not met: How policy commitments are made accessible to audience |
| B.1.4.b        | Communication /dissemination of policy commitment(s) to business relationships   | 0.5              | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Commits to all 4 ILO core conventions for suppliers: See indicator A.1.2 • Not met: Communicating policy down the whole AP supply chain: The Company states in its CR report 2018 'Together with our representatives, we work closely with our agents and vendors so that they understand our social compliance program and our Vendor Code of Conduct. Our merchants also play an important role in educating suppliers on our social compliance program requirements and encouraging them to make improvements at their facilities, when necessary. We created the TJX Global Social Compliance Manual, which contains, among other important guidance, an audit procedure outline and factory evaluation checklist to help prepare the factory for the audit process. This tool is available in seven languages and offers detailed information designed to help our agents, vendors and factory management better understand the expectations of our Vendor Code of Conduct, as well as the monitoring and corrective action processes. We require our buying agents to disseminate this manual to their entire TJX vendor base.' In addition, as indicated below, the vendor code is part of purchase order terms. However, it is not clear if the Company communicates its policies down the supply chain (or requires its suppliers to do so). [Corporate Responsibility Report 2017, November 2018: tjx.com]
<p>|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Requiring AP suppliers to communicate policy down the chain |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Indicator Name</th>
<th>Score (out of 2)</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B.1.5</td>
<td>Training on Human Rights</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Scores at least 1 on A.1.2 • Not met: Trains all workers on HR policy commitments: The Company states in its CR report 2018: &quot;We recognize that it is important to routinely train our buying agents, vendors, and factory management. On average, we hold 10-12 training sessions a year in various locations around the world, which in the past have included China, India, Indonesia, Korea, Mexico, the Philippines, Taiwan, Thailand, Turkey, the United States, and Vietnam. These training sessions were conducted by either UL or Intertek representatives, who were accompanied by our Assistant Vice President (AVP), Global Social Compliance. We believe the presence of our management at each of these sessions in each of these countries demonstrates to factory management, buying agent management, and vendors that TJX is committed to our Social Compliance Program.&quot; [Corporate Responsibility Report 2017, November 2018: tjx.com] • Met: Trains relevant AP managers including procurement: See above Score 2 • Not met: Score of 2 on A.1.2 • Not met: Both requirements under score 1 met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.1.6</td>
<td>Monitoring and corrective actions</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Scores at least 1 on A.1.2 • Not met: Monitoring implementation of HR policy commitments: Its Global Code of Conduct does not cover all ILO core. [Global Code of Conduct: tjx.com] • Met: Monitoring AP suppliers: The Company describes the following: 'We contract with both leading independent auditors (including UL, Intertek, and Omega) and other third parties (such as our buying agent) to conduct social compliance audits at factories that supply products that we have designed for sale in our stores and online. These social compliance audits evaluate and address risks of forced labor, including slavery and human trafficking. Over the last two decades, thousands of audits have been conducted. We created a Global Social Compliance Manual, which is available in seven languages and contains an audit procedure outline and factory evaluation checklist to help the affected factories better understand our Vendor Code of Conduct and prepare for the audit process. The audits are conducted on an unannounced basis during specified time windows, and they are intended to verify the factories' compliance with the standards contained in our Vendor Code of Conduct, including our prohibition of involuntary or forced labor. [...] Factory audits include employee interviews in order to hear first-hand about worker treatment. The factory's score on the initial audit determines how soon it will be re-assessed, according to a risk-based audit cycle we have developed.' [Responsible Sourcing, 13/8/2019: tjx.com] Score 2 • Not met: Score of 2 on A.1.2 • Not met: Describes corrective action process • Not met: Example of corrective action: It also describe corrective action process: 'At the conclusion of an audit, a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) is created and concerns are discussed by the auditors with factory management. Factory management is requested to sign the CAP to verify their understanding of the findings. In certain cases, we may require our third-party monitors to re-audit the factory. Our goal is to have re-audits complete within six months of the prior audit, and we strive to work with factories to continuously improve their operations.' However, there is no information about the numbers of incidence. [Global Social Compliance Program: tjx.com] • Not met: Discloses % of AP supply chain monitored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.1.7</td>
<td>Engaging business relationships</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Met: HR affects AP selection of suppliers: In its CSR Report 2018, the Company indicates: 'In choosing third parties to work with, our Associates must select those that act with integrity and in a manner consistent with the ethical principles stated in our Code.' [Corporate Responsibility Report 2017, November 2018: tjx.com] • Met: HR affects on-going AP supplier relationships: On its website, section 'Global Social Compliance Program', the Company indicates: 'There are several issues that</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
we consider “zero tolerance” issues. That is, we would immediately terminate the relationship if a factory is found to be in violation of this aspect of our program, including for example, issues like bribery/corruption; child, prison, slave, or forced labor; human trafficking; maintaining a facility with all doors and/or exits locked; use of chemicals banned in that region; and failure to pay any wages. [...] Though we strive to work with vendors to address and resolve shortcomings in their operations, under extreme circumstances, we must conclude that we can no longer do business with certain factories, or that they will be precluded from producing goods for us until they demonstrate that they have addressed the situation and have put management systems in place to prevent a recurrence.’ [Global Social Compliance Program: tjx.com]

Score 2
• Met: Both requirement under score 1 met [Global Social Compliance Program: tjx.com]
• Not met: Working with AP suppliers to improve performance: It also indicates: 'Our preferred approach, however, is to work with vendors whenever possible to address and resolve issues identified during audits of their facilities because the reality is that improving working conditions in factories in underdeveloped countries is an ongoing effort. TJX, like other retailers, is facing this challenge. Our goal, when possible and reasonable, is continuous improvement of conditions at factories. This is preferable to ceasing business with these factories and gives us the ability to influence positive change. We believe that this “continuous improvement” model is in the best interest of the workers in the facilities from which we source goods. Integral to the success of our compliance program is ongoing involvement and partnerships between TJX, UL, Intertek, Omega, our buying agents, and vendors to address shortcomings identified in audits and to work toward improvement.’ However, CHRB could not find information about an specific example of this process. [Global Social Compliance Program: tjx.com]

The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:

Score 1
• Not met: Stakeholder process or systems
• Not met: Frequency and triggers for engagement
• Not met: Workers in AP SC engaged: In its website section ‘Stakeholder Engagement’ the Company refers only to its Associates, Customers and Investors. [Stakeholder engagement (updated), 13/8/2019: tjx.com]
• Not met: Communities in the AP SC engaged: See above [Stakeholder engagement (updated), 13/8/2019: tjx.com]

Score 2
• Not met: Analysis of stakeholder views and company's actions on them

The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:

Score 1
• Not met: Salient risk assessment (and context)
• Not met: Public disclosure of salient risks

Score 2
• Not met: Both requirements under score 1 met

The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:

Score 1
• Not met: Action Plans to mitigate risks
• Not met: Including in AP supply chain
• Not met: Example of Actions decided

Score 2
• Not met: Both requirements under score 1 met
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Indicator name</th>
<th>Score (out of 2)</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| B.2.4          | Tracking: Monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of actions to respond to human rights risks and impacts | 0                | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:                                                                                                
|                |                                                                                 |                  | Score 1                                                                                                           
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: System to check if Actions are effective                                                 |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Lessons learnt from checking effectiveness                          |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | Score 2                                                                                                           
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Both requirement under score 1 met                                                                            |
| B.2.5          | Communicating: Accounting for how human rights impacts are addressed            | 0                | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:                                                                                                
|                |                                                                                 |                  | Score 1                                                                                                           
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Comms plan re identifying risks                                           |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Comms plan re assessing risks                                             |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Comms plan re action plans for risks                                     |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Comms plan re reviewing action plans                                      |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Including AP suppliers                                                  |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | Score 2                                                                                                           
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Responding to affected stakeholders concerns                             |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Ensuring affected stakeholders can access communications                  |

**C. Remedies and Grievance Mechanisms (15% of Total)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Indicator name</th>
<th>Score (out of 2)</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| C.1            | Grievance channel(s)/mechanism(s) to receive complaints or concerns from workers | 1.5              | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:                                                                                                
|                |                                                                                 |                  | Score 1                                                                                                           
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Met: Channel accessible to all workers: The Company states in its Global Code of Conduct ‘The TJX Helpline is an additional resource available to allow you to voice your concerns (subject to local law or regulation, which may limit certain kinds of reporting). It is staffed by an outside service provider around the clock, every day of the year. Providing details when you call the Helpline can assist TJX in answering your questions or resolving your concerns more promptly. Although you are encouraged to identify yourself, you may remain anonymous when calling the Helpline unless doing so is prohibited by local law or regulation. Regardless of whether you choose to identify yourself, anything you discuss with the Helpline will be handled with appropriate attention to confidentiality’. This Channel is accessible to all Associates, which are defined as ‘Everyone employed by TJX, to report any concern about a violation of the Code which include some human rights issues such as discrimination, health and safety and working hours. [Global Code of Conduct: tjx.com]’ |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | Score 2                                                                                                           
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Number grievances filed, addressed or resolved                        |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Channel is available in all appropriate languages                      |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Expect AP supplier to have equivalent grievance systems                |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Met: Opens own system to AP supplier workers: In its CR Report 2018, the Company indicates: ‘External stakeholders may reach us via any of the phone numbers or addresses listed by locality on the “Contact Us” section of our corporate website.’ [Corporate Responsibility Report 2017, November 2018: tjx.com] |
| C.2            | Grievance channel(s)/mechanism(s) to receive complaints or concerns from external individuals and communities | 0                | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:                                                                                                
|                |                                                                                 |                  | Score 1                                                                                                           
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Grievance mechanism for community                                        |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | Score 2                                                                                                           
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Describes accessibility and local languages                          |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Expect AP supplier to have community grievance systems                  |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: AP supplier communities use global system                                |
| C.3            | Users are involved in the design and performance of the channel(s)/mechanism(s) | 0                | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:                                                                                                
|                |                                                                                 |                  | Score 1                                                                                                           
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Engages users to create or assess system                                      |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Description of how they do this                                           |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | Score 2                                                                                                           
<p>|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Engages with users on system performance                                  |
|                |                                                                                 |                  | • Not met: Provides user engagement example on performance                           |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Indicator name</th>
<th>Score (out of 2)</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| C.4            | Procedures related to the mechanism(s)/channel(s) are publicly available and explained | 0                | • Not met: AP suppliers consult users in creation or assessment  
The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1  
• Not met: Response timescales  
• Not met: How complainants will be informed  
Score 2  
• Not met: Escalation to senior/independent level |
| C.5            | Commitment to non-retaliation over complaints or concerns made                   | 0.5              | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1  
• Met: Public statement prohibiting retaliation: In its Global Code of Conduct, the Company indicates: 'TJX will not tolerate any form of retaliation against, or victimization of, Associates or others [the channel is open to stakeholders] for making good-faith reports of possible violations of the Code, or for asking questions about the Code, Company policies, or applicable laws. Anyone who retaliates (or attempts to do so) will be subject to disciplinary action in accordance with Company policies and applicable law.' [Global Code of Conduct: tjx.com]  
• Not met: Practical measures to prevent retaliation  
Score 2  
• Not met: Has not retaliated in practice  
• Not met: Expects AP suppliers to prohibit retaliation |
| C.6            | Company involvement with State-based judicial and non-judicial grievance mechanisms | 0                | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1  
• Not met: Won't impede state based mechanisms  
• Not met: Complainants not asked to waive rights  
Score 2  
• Not met: Will work with state based or non-judicial mechanisms  
• Not met: Example of issue resolved (if applicable) |
| C.7            | Remediating adverse impacts and incorporating lessons learned                     | 0                | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1  
• Not met: Describes how remedy has been provided  
• Not met: Says how it would remedy key sector risks  
Score 2  
• Not met: Changes introduced to stop repetition  
• Not met: Approach to learning from incident to prevent future impacts  
• Not met: Evaluation of the channel/mechanism |

**D. Performance: Company Human Rights Practices (20% of Total)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Indicator name</th>
<th>Score (out of 2)</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| D.2.1.a        | Living wage (in own production or manufacturing operations)                      | 0                | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1  
• Not met: Living wage target timeframe  
• Not met: Describes how living wage determined  
Score 2  
• Not met: Achieved payment of living wage  
• Not met: Regularly review definition of living wage with unions |
| D.2.1.b        | Living wage (in the supply chain)                                               | 0                | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1  
• Not met: Living wage in supplier code or contracts  
• Not met: Improving living wage practices of suppliers  
Score 2  
• Not met: Both requirements under score 1 met  
• Not met: Provide analysis of trends demonstrating progress |
| D.2.2          | Aligning purchasing decisions with human rights                                 | 0                | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1  
• Not met: Avoids business model pressure on HRs  
• Not met: Positive incentives to respect human rights  
Score 2  
• Not met: Both requirements under score 1 met |
| D.2.3          | Mapping and disclosing the supply chain                                          | 0                | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1  
• Not met: Identifies suppliers back to product source  
Score 2  
• Not met: Discloses significant parts of supply chain and why |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Indicator name</th>
<th>Score (out of 2)</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D.2.4.a</td>
<td>Prohibition on child labour: Age verification and corrective actions (in own production or manufacturing operations)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Does not use child labour • Not met: Age verification of job applicants and workers Score 2 • Not met: Remediation if children identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.2.4.b</td>
<td>Prohibition on child labour: Age verification and corrective actions (in the supply chain)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Child Labour rules in codes or contracts: The Company states in its Vendor code of conduct 'Our vendors must not use child labor. The term “child” is defined as anyone younger than 15 years of age (or younger than 14 years of age where the law of the country of manufacture allows 14-year-olds to work). However, in countries where the legal age for completing compulsory education is higher than 15, then we define “child” as anyone younger than the age for completing compulsory education.' However the Company makes no reference to verification of ages of jobs applicants and workers or to remediation programmes. The Company makes a reference to a 'Global Social Compliance Manual' in its website section 'Global Social Compliance Program', 'which contains, among other important guidance, an audit procedure outline and factory evaluation checklist to help prepare the factory for the audit process', but CHRB could not find this document. [Vendor Code of Conduct (website, updated), 13/8/2019: tjx.com] • Not met: How working with suppliers on child labour Score 2 • Not met: Both requirements under score 1 met • Not met: Provide analysis of trends demonstrating progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.2.5.a</td>
<td>Prohibition on forced labour: Debt bondage and other unacceptable financial costs (in own production or manufacturing operations)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Pays workers in full and on time • Not met: Payslips show any legitimate deductions Score 2 • Not met: How these practices are implemented and monitored for agencies, labour brokers or recruiters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.2.5.b</td>
<td>Prohibition on forced labour: Debt bondage and other unacceptable financial costs (in the supply chain)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Debt and fees rules in codes or contracts [Global Social Compliance Program: tjx.com] • Not met: How working with suppliers on debt &amp; fees Score 2 • Not met: Both requirements under score 1 met • Not met: Provide analysis of trends in progress made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.2.5.c</td>
<td>Prohibition on forced labour: Restrictions on workers (in own production or manufacturing operations)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Does not retain documents or restrict movement Score 2 • Not met: How sure about agencies or brokers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.2.5.d</td>
<td>Prohibition on forced labour: Restrictions on workers (in the supply chain)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not met: Free movement rules in codes or contracts • Not met: How these practices are implemented and monitored for agencies, labour brokers or recruiters Score 2 • Not met: Both requirements under score 1 met • Not met: Provide analysis of trends in progress made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator Code</td>
<td>Indicator name</td>
<td>Score (out of 2)</td>
<td>Explanation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| D.2.6.a         | Freedom of association and collective bargaining (in own production or manufacturing operations) | 0               | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1  
• Not met: Commits not to interfere with union rights and collective bargaining and prohibits intimidation and retaliation  
• Not met: Discloses % covered by collective bargaining  
Score 2  
• Not met: Both requirement under score 1 met |
| D.2.6.b         | Freedom of association and collective bargaining (in the supply chain) | 0               | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1  
• Not met: FoA & CB rules in codes or contracts: The Company states in its Vendor code of conduct ‘Our vendors must respect the rights of their workers to choose (or choose not) to freely associate and to bargain collectively where such rights are recognized by law’. However, there is no specific mention of prohibition of intimidation, harassment, retaliation and violence against trade union members and trade union representatives, nor evidence found of requirement to support alternative measures or equivalent worker bodies in those places where the exercise of these rights is restricted under law. [Vendor Code of Conduct (website, updated), 13/8/2019: tjx.com]  
• Not met: How working with suppliers on FoA and CB  
Score 2  
• Not met: Both requirements under score 1 met |
| D.2.7.a         | Health and safety: Fatalities, lost days, injury rates (in own production of manufacturing operations) | 0               | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1  
• Not met: Injury Rate disclosures  
• Not met: Lost days or near miss disclosure  
• Not met: Fatalities disclosures  
Score 2  
• Not met: Set targets for H&S performance  
• Not met: Met targets or explains why not |
| D.2.7.b         | Health and safety: Fatalities, lost days, injury rates (in the supply chain) | 0               | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1  
• Not met: Sets out clear Health and Safety requirements: The Vendor code of conduct states that 'our vendors must provide their workers with safe and healthy conditions, including any living facilities that may be provided'. No further details found on health and safety requirements. [Vendor Code of Conduct (website, updated), 13/8/2019: tjx.com & Global Social Compliance Program (updated), 13/8/2019: tjx.com]  
• Not met: Injury rate disclosures  
• Not met: Lost days or near miss disclosures  
• Not met: Fatalities disclosures  
Score 2  
• Not met: How working with suppliers on H&S: It states that it has elevated the importance of fire safety in external supplier and internal buyer training programmes. However, no further information found on how it works with suppliers to improve their performance. [Responsible Sourcing, 13/8/2019: tjx.com]  
• Not met: Provide analysis of trends in progress made |
| D.2.8.a         | Women’s rights (in own production or manufacturing operations) | 1               | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  
Score 1  
• Not met: Process to stop harassment and violence: In its Global Code of Conduct, the Company indicates: ‘We do not tolerate harassment at TJX. As TJX Associates, we are all expected to act in a professional manner and to avoid any action or behaviour that, if unwelcome, may be considered harassment or sexual harassment. "Harassment" includes any conduct that unreasonably interferes with an individual’s work performance or creates an intimidating, hostile, or otherwise offensive environment. Harassment can take many forms, including using slurs, epithets, inappropriate gestures, or making demeaning jokes. Regardless of the form it takes, behaviour like this is not tolerated.’ However there is no further information about its processes to prohibit harassment, intimidation and violence against women. [Global Code of Conduct: tjx.com]  
• Not met: Working conditions take account of gender |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Indicator name</th>
<th>Score (out of 2)</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>Score 1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>Score 2</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>Not met: Meets all of the requirements under score 1</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**D.2.8.b Women’s rights (in the supply chain)**

The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:

- **Score 1**
  - Not met: Women’s rights in codes or contracts
  - Not met: How working with suppliers on women’s rights

- **Score 2**
  - Not met: Both requirement under score 1 met
  - Not met: Provide analysis of trends in progress made

**D.2.9.a Working hours (in own production or manufacturing operations)**

The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:

- **Score 1**
  - Not met: Respects max hours, min breaks and rest periods in its own operations

- **Score 2**
  - Not met: How it implements and checks this

**D.2.9.b Working hours (in the supply chain)**

The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:

- **Score 1**
  - Not met: Working hours in codes or contracts: The Company states in its Vendor code of conduct 'Our vendors must not require their employees, on a regularly scheduled basis, to work in excess of 60 hours per week (or fewer hours if prescribed by applicable laws and regulations). All overtime must be voluntary and must be fully compensated in accordance with the requirements of local law, and except in extraordinary circumstances, employees must be entitled to at least one day of rest in every seven-day period'. No further details found, including regular working week hours. [Vendor Code of Conduct (website, updated), 13/8/2019: tjx.com & Global Social Compliance Program: tjx.com]
  - Not met: How working with suppliers on working hours

- **Score 2**
  - Not met: Both requirements under score 1 met
  - Not met: Provide analysis of trends in progress made
### E. Performance: Responses to Serious Allegations (20% of Total)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Indicator name</th>
<th>Score (out of 2)</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| E(1).0         | Serious allegation No 1 | • Headline: Crushing Debt Bondage Poses Forced Labor Risk for U.S. Port Truckers and Retailers using them
• Area: Forced labour
• Story: A 2017 investigation by USA Today alleged that truck drivers in the US supply chain for a number of retailers including TJX Companies were often trapped in debt bondage and worked in conditions equivalent to forced labour. Specifically the drivers were said to be pressed into leasing trucks they could not afford, forced as a result to drive for up to 20 hours a day for pay that “sometimes drops to pennies on the hour”, before being fired and having their vehicles taken, without compensation for the money the drivers had paid towards buying them. In 2018, the city of Los Angeles filed three lawsuits against some of the trucking companies named in the report.
• Sources: [Huffington Post, 21/11/2017 - huffingtonpost.com][USA Today, 16/06/2017 - usatoday.com][Naples Daily News, 09/01/2018: eu.naplesnews.com][USA Today, 09/01/18 eu.usatoday.com] |
| E(1).1         | The Company has responded publicly to the allegation | 1 | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
• Met: Public response available: In the USA Today article the spokesperson for the company says that ‘We take these concerns very seriously at TJX’
• Not met: Response goes into detail |
| E(1).2         | The Company has appropriate policies in place | 1 | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
• Met: Company policies address the general issues raised
• Met: Policies apply to the type of business relationships involved
• Not met: Policies address the specific rights in question |
| E(1).3         | The Company has taken appropriate action | 0 | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
• Not met: Engages with affected stakeholders
• Not met:_encourages linked business to engage affected stakeholders
• Not met: Provides remedies to affected stakeholders
• Not met: Has reviewed management systems to prevent recurrence
• Not met: Remedies are satisfactory to the victims
• Not met: Has improved systems and engaged affected stakeholders |

### F. Transparency (10% of Total)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Indicator name</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F.1</td>
<td>Company willingness to publish information</td>
<td>1.17 out of 4</td>
<td>Out of a total of 48 indicators assessed under sections A-D of the benchmark, TJX Companies made data public that met one or more elements of the methodology in 14 cases, leading to a disclosure score of 1.17 out of 4 points.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| F.2            | Recognised Reporting Initiatives | 2 out of 2 | The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
• Met: Company reports on GRI: In its website the Company indicates: 'TJX provides an index of our corporate responsibility reporting mapped against the Global Reporting Initiative’s (GRI) Sustainability Reporting Framework guidelines.' [Global Reporting Initiative: tjx.com & GRI Content Index, Nov 2018: tjx.com] |
| F.3            | Key, High Quality Disclosures | 0 out of 4 | TJX Companies met 0 of the 10 thresholds listed below and therefore gets 0 out of 4 points for the high quality disclosure indicator.
Specificity and use of concrete examples
• Not met: Score 2 for A.2.2 : Board discussions
• Not met: Score 2 for B.1.6 : Monitoring and corrective actions
• Not met: Score 2 for C.1 : Grievance channel(s)/mechanism(s) to receive complaints or concerns from workers
• Not met: Score 2 for C.3 : Users are involved in the design and performance of the channel(s)/mechanism(s)
Discussing challenges openly
• Not met: Score 2 for B.2.4 : Tracking: Monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of actions to respond to human rights risks and impacts
• Not met: Score 2 for C.7 : Remedying adverse impacts and incorporating lessons learned
Demonstrating a forward focus |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Code</th>
<th>Indicator name</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[A.2.3 : Incentives and performance management]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not met: Score 2 for A.2.3 : Incentives and performance management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[D.2.1.a : Living wage (in own production or manufacturing operations)]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not met: Score 1 for D.2.1.a : Living wage (in own production or manufacturing operations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[D.2.7.a : Health and safety: Fatalities, lost days, injury rates (in own production of manufacturing operations)]</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not met: Score 2 for D.2.7.a : Health and safety: Fatalities, lost days, injury rates (in own production of manufacturing operations)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disclaimer**

A score of zero for a particular indicator does not mean that bad practices are present. Rather it means that we have been unable to identify the required information in public documentation.

See the 2019 Key Findings report and technical annex for more details of the research process.

The Benchmark is made available on the express understanding that it will be used solely for general information purposes. The material contained in the Benchmark should not be construed as relating to accounting, legal, regulatory, tax, research or investment advice and it is not intended to take into account any specific or general investment objectives. The material contained in the Benchmark does not constitute a recommendation to take any action or to buy or sell or otherwise deal with anything or anyone identified or contemplated in the Benchmark. Before acting on anything contained in this material, you should consider whether it is suitable to your particular circumstances and, if necessary, seek professional advice. The material in the Benchmark has been put together solely according to the CHRB methodology and not any other assessment models in operation within any of the project partners or EIRIS Foundation as provider of the analyst team.

No representation or warranty is given that the material in the Benchmark is accurate, complete or up-to-date. The material in the Benchmark is based on information that we consider correct and any statements, opinions, conclusions or recommendations contained therein are honestly and reasonably held or made at the time of publication. Any opinions expressed are our current opinions as of the date of the publication of the Benchmark only and may change without notice. Any views expressed in the Benchmark only represent the views of CHRB Ltd, unless otherwise expressly noted.

While the material contained in the Benchmark has been prepared in good faith, neither CHRB Ltd nor any of its agents, representatives, advisers, affiliates, directors, officers or employees accept any responsibility for or make any representation or warranty (either express or implied) as to the truth, accuracy, reliability or completeness of the information contained in this Benchmark or any other information made available in connection with the Benchmark. Neither CHRB Ltd nor any of its agents, representatives, advisers, affiliates, directors, officers and employees undertake any obligation to provide the users of the Benchmark with additional information or to update the information contained therein or to correct any inaccuracies which may become apparent (save as to the extent set out in CHRB Ltd’s appeals procedure). To the maximum extent permitted by law any responsibility or liability for the Benchmark or any related material is expressly disclaimed provided that nothing in this disclaimer shall exclude any liability for, or any remedy in respect of, fraud or fraudulent misrepresentation. Any disputes, claims or proceedings this in connection with or arising in relation to this Benchmark will be governed by and construed in accordance with English law and submitted to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of England and Wales.

As CHRB Ltd, we want to emphasise that the results will always be a proxy for good human rights management, and not an absolute measure of performance. This is because there are no fundamental units of measurement for human rights. Human rights assessments are therefore necessarily more subjective than objective. The Benchmark also captures only a snap shot in time. We therefore want to encourage companies, investors, civil society and governments to look at the broad performance bands that companies are ranked within rather than their precise score because, as with all measurements, there is a reasonably wide margin of error possible in interpretation. We also want to encourage a greater analytical focus on how scores improve over time rather than upon how a company compares to other companies in the same industry today. The spirit of the exercise is to promote continual improvement via an open assessment process and a common understanding of the importance of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.